

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/520,806	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AKKAR ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> DARREN SCHWARTZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 2435

**All Participants:**(1) DARREN SCHWARTZ.**Status of Application:** NOA

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Pehr Jansson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2010**Time:** 11 AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

1,6 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Lim and Sibert

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Discussed amendments to further define the invention, obviate issues under 112P2 and obviate potential issues under 101.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DARREN SCHWARTZ/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2435

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)